	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/834,835	BRABANT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monique R Jackson	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Monique R Jackson.	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Haldiman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 June 2004	Time: <u>12:45PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: Claims 6 & 7		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Man Saulin		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

**Application No. 09/834,835** 

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant's Attorney agreed to the Examiner's Amendment as recited in the attached office action which corresponds to the amendment recited in the faxed document received 6/21/04 wherein the Examiner noted that the faxed amendment was improper because it included an improper claim identifier..